



# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/037,933	10/19/2001	Wayne E. Fisher	M-10965 US	7604	
7:	7590 02/02/2004			EXAMINER	
MICHAEL P. ADAMS WINSTEAD SECHREST & MINICK P. C. 5400 RENAISSANCE TOWER 1201 ELM STREET			KINDRED, ALFORD W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2172	7	
DALLAS,, TX	75270-2199		DATE MAILED: 02/02/2004	4 /	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Pla			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/037,933	FISHER, WAYNE E.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alford W. Kindred	2172			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a repl y within the statutory minimum of thirty (i will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this communication.  IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 C	October 2001.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached (	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the fir 37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the Attachment(s).	es have been received. Its have been received in Apprity documents have been received in Apprity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § st sentence of the specification by the series of the specification of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § §	ceived in this National Stage ceived. 119(e) (to a provisional application) on or in an Application Data Sheet. n received. 120 and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Intonious Com	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
<ul> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4</li> </ul>	5) Notice of Info	mary (P10-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/037,933

Art Unit: 2172

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: application filed on 10/19/01.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US# 2003/0135478 A1, Marshall et al.

As per claim 1, Marshall et al. teaches "said IMS database is loaded, assuming control from an IMS program . . ." (see page 2, paragaraphs [0033] and page 3, paragraphs [0042]-[0044) "passing control back to said IMS program . . . updating of said database . . ." (see page 3, paragraphs [0040]-[0044]) "selecting a storage location for said data to be stored" (see page 3, paragraph [0038]) "modifying said IMS control blocks to indicate the storage location selected; and passing control back to said IMS program" (see page 5, paragraphs [0059]-[0061]).

As per claim 2, Marshall et al. teaches "storage data to be stored is selected based on IMS database user preference" (see page 3, paragraphs [0042]-[0044]).

Application/Control Number: 10/037,933

Art Unit: 2172

As per claim 3, Marshall et al. teaches "root segments and said user preferences include storing said root segments in fixed storage locations" (page 16, paragraph [0204] and page 17, paragraphs [0205]-[0206]).

As per claim 4, Marshall et al. teaches "storing said data in particular units of work" (see page 11, paragraphs [0131]-[0133]).

As per claims 5-7, Marshall et al. teaches "includes non-root segments and said user preferences . . . the root segment of said non-root segments" (see page 12, paragraphs [0143]-[0148]).

As per claims 8-9, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and are similarly rejected including the following:

-- Marshall et al. teaches "IMS database is loaded . . . IMS control blocks built by said IMS program . . . " (see page 2, paragraphs [0033] and page 3, paragraphs [0042]-[0044]) "passing control back to said IMS program . . . IMS program attempts to insert a first segment . . . updating of said database . . . " (page 3, paragraphs [0040]-[0044]) "a prefix component and a data component . . . " (see page 12, paragraphs [0140]-[0143]) "appending a data link to each said prefix and data component . . . said data link appended becoming a third segment" (see page 4, paragraph [0057] and page 5, paragraphs [0058]-[0059]) "modifying said IMS control blocks to indicate the storage locations selected . . ." (see page 5, paragraphs [0059]-[0061]).

As per claims 10-11 and 13, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 5-7 and are similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/037,933

Art Unit: 2172

Page 4

As per claim 12, Marshall et al. teaches "storing said second segment in a unit of work" (see page 3, paragraphs [0038]-[0039]).

As per claims 14-15, Marshall et al. teaches "storing said second and third segments in different storage locations in one storage . . ." (see page 17, paragraphs [0215] and [0219] and page 18, paragraphs [0235]).

As per claims 16-17, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1 and 8 and are similarly rejected.

As per claims 18-21, these claims are rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claims 1, 8, and 16-18 and are similarly rejected.

### Page 5

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 4. examiner should be directed to Alford W. Kindred whose telephone number is 703-305-3802. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9:00 am- 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, KIm Vu can be reached on (703) 305-4393. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9000.

Alford W. Kindred Patent Examiner

Tech Ctr. 2100